

A study on Some Difference sequence spaces and their Matrix transformations over Non –Archimedean fields

J. Uma, V. Srinivasan

Abstract— In this paper we define some difference sequence spaces over non-Archimedean fields and the matrix transformations of these sequence spaces, where the sequences, series and infinite matrices have entries in a complete non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field K as in [7].

Index Terms— Bounded,convergent and null sequence spaces, Difference sequence spaces, Matrix transformations, Non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field.

1 INTRODUCTION

Let l_∞, c and c_0 denote the Banach spaces of bounded convergent and null sequences $x = (x_k) \in K, k = 1, 2, \dots$, with $\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|$. In this paper we extend the difference sequence spaces $l_\infty(\Delta), c(\Delta)$ and $c_0(\Delta)$ of [5] to $\Delta l_\infty(p), \Delta c(p)$ and $\Delta c_0(p)$, where $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers i.e., $1 \leq p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty$.

If $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k) = (x_k - x_{k+1})$, then these spaces are defined as follows:

$$\Delta l_\infty(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \sup_k |\Delta x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \right\}$$

$$\Delta c(p) = \{ x = (x_k) : |\Delta x_k - \alpha_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \alpha_k \in K \}$$

$$\text{and } \Delta c_0(p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : |\Delta x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \right\}, \text{ when } \sup_k p_k < \infty.$$

When $p_k = c$, a constant, $k=1, 2, \dots$,

$$\Delta l_\infty(p) = l_\infty(\Delta), \Delta c(p) = c(\Delta) \text{ and } \Delta c_0(p) = c_0(\Delta)$$

and the above difference sequence spaces are non-Archimedean Banach spaces with the norm $\|x\|_\Delta = |x_1| + \|\Delta x\|$.

Here we prove that $(\Delta l_\infty(p), \|\cdot\|_\Delta)$ is a non-Archimedean Banach space.

Let (x^n) be a Cauchy sequence in $\Delta l_\infty(p)$, where

$$x^n = (x_i^n) = (x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots) \in \Delta l_\infty(p).$$

Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|x^n - x^m\|_\Delta = |x_1^n - x_1^m|^{p_k} + \|\Delta x^n - \Delta x^m\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies that $|x_1^n - x_1^m|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, and

hence $|x_k^n - x_k^m|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, then by the completeness of K , (x_k^n) converges to some x_k in K .

i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_k^n = x_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$

Further for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such that for all $n, m \geq N$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$|x_1^n - x_1^m|^{p_k} < \varepsilon, \quad |x_{k+1}^n - x_{k+1}^m - (x_k^n - x_k^m)|^{p_k} < \varepsilon$$

Then as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have,

$$\lim_m |x_1^n - x_1^m|^{p_k} = |x_1^n - x_1|^{p_k} \leq \varepsilon \tag{1}$$

and

$$\lim_m |x_{k+1}^n - x_{k+1}^m - (x_k^n - x_k^m)|^{p_k} = |x_{k+1}^n - x_{k+1} - (x_k^n - x_k)|^{p_k} \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$\text{for all } n \geq N. \tag{2}$$

since ε is not dependent on k , We have

$$\sup_k |x_{k+1}^n - x_{k+1} - (x_k^n - x_k)|^{p_k} \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\Delta x^n - \Delta x\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \tag{3}$$

Consequently we have,

$$\|x^n - x\|_\Delta = |x_1^n - x_1|^{p_k} + \|\Delta x^n - \Delta x\|_\infty \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad \text{using (1) \& (3).}$$

Hence we obtain $x^n \rightarrow x (n \rightarrow \infty)$ in $\Delta l_\infty(p)$, where $x = (x_k)$.

Now we must show that $x \in \Delta l_\infty(p)$. For, we consider

$$|x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} = |x_k - x_k^N + x_k^N - x_{k+1}^N + x_{k+1}^N - x_{k+1}|^{p_k}$$

1. J.Uma is working as an Assistant Professor in Department of Mathematics, SRM University, (Tamilnadu), India.
E-mail: uma.j@ktr.srmuniv.ac.in
2. V.Srinivasan is working as a Professor in Department of Mathematics, SRM University, (Tamilnadu), India.
E-mail: drosrinivas.5@gmail.com

$$\leq \max \left\{ \left| x_k^N - x_{k+1}^N \right|^{p_k}, \left| x_{k+1}^N - x_k^N - (x_{k+1} - x_k) \right|^{p_k} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup_k \left\{ \left| x_k^N - x_{k+1}^N \right|^{p_k}, \left| x_{k+1}^N - x_k^N - (x_{k+1} - x_k) \right|^{p_k} \right\}$$

$\leq \varepsilon$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

That is., $\sup_k |x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} < \infty$.

Hence $\Delta x_k \in l_\infty(p)$ implies that $x \in \Delta l_\infty(p)$.

1.1.Lemma:

$\sup_k |x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} < \infty$ iff

(i) $\sup_k k^{-1} |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty$ and

(ii) $\sup_k |x_k - k(k+1)^{-1} x_{k+1}|^{p_k} < \infty$.

Proof:

Let $\sup_k |x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} < \infty$.

Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists an integer $M > 0$ such that $|x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} \leq kM$. (4)

To prove (i):

Now,

$$|x_1 - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} = \left| \sum_{v=1}^k (x_v - x_{v+1}) \right|^{p_k}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ |x_1 - x_2|^{p_k}, |x_2 - x_3|^{p_k}, \dots, |x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} \right\}$$

$$\leq \max \{ M, 2M, \dots, kM \}, \text{ using(4)}$$

$$\leq kM$$

$\Rightarrow k^{-1} |x_1 - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} \leq M$

$\Rightarrow \sup_k k^{-1} |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty$.

To prove (ii):

Now for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose an integer M such that

$M > \max(1, \sup_k k^{-1} |x_k|^{p_k})$. (5)

Then we have

$$\left| x_k - k(k+1)^{-1} x_{k+1} \right|^{p_k}$$

$$= \left| k(k+1)^{-1} (x_k - x_{k+1}) + (k+1)^{-1} x_k \right|^{p_k}$$

$$= (k+1)^{-1} |k(x_k - x_{k+1}) + x_k|^{p_k}$$

$$\leq (k+1)^{-1} \max \left\{ k|x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k}, |x_k|^{p_k} \right\}$$

$$\leq k(k+1)^{-1} \max \left\{ |\Delta x_k|^{p_k}, k^{-1} |x_k|^{p_k} \right\}$$

$$\leq k(k+1)^{-1} \max(kM, M), \text{ using(4)\&(5)}$$

$$\leq \frac{k^2}{(k+1)} M$$

i.e., $\sup_k |x_k - k(k+1)^{-1} x_{k+1}|^{p_k} < \infty$

Conversely suppose that (i) and (ii) hold, then there exists integers $M_1 (>0)$ and $M_2 (>0)$ such that

$k^{-1} |x_k|^{p_k} < M_1$ and $|x_k - k(k+1)^{-1} x_{k+1}|^{p_k} < M_2$ (6)

Now,we have,

$$|x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} = \frac{k+1}{k} \left| k(k+1)^{-1} x_k - k(k+1)^{-1} x_{k+1} \right|^{p_k}$$

$$= \frac{k+1}{k} \left| x_k - (k+1)^{-1} x_k - k(k+1)^{-1} x_{k+1} \right|^{p_k}$$

$$= \frac{k+1}{k} \max \left\{ \left| x_k - k(k+1)^{-1} x_{k+1} \right|^{p_k}, (k+1)^{-1} |x_k|^{p_k} \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{k+1}{k} \max \{ M_1, M_2 \}, \text{ using(6)}$$

$$\leq \frac{k+1}{k} M \text{ where, } M = \max(M_1, M_2)$$

$\Rightarrow \sup |x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} < \infty$.

Which completes the proof of the lemma.

2 Matrix maps:

If X and Y are any two sequence spaces, then (X, Y) denote the class of all infinite matrices

$A = (a_{nk}), a_{nk} \in K, n, k = 1, 2, \dots$, that maps X in to Y ,

i.e., for which the series $(Ax)_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$ covers for all

$x \in X$ and all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and such that $Ax = (Ax)_n \in Y$

for all $x \in X$. Here Ax is called the matrix transformation of the sequence $x = (x_k)$.

2.1.Theorem:

Let $p_k > 0$ for every $k = 1, 2, \dots$, then $A \in (\Delta l_\infty(p), l_\infty)$ iff for every integer $N > 1$,

$$\sup_k k |a_{nk}| N^{1/p_k} < \infty. \tag{7}$$

Proof:

Suppose that (7) holds as in [1], now let $p_k > 0, k=1, 2, \dots$, and $x = (x_k) \in \Delta l_\infty(p)$ implies that $\sup_k |x_k - x_{k+1}|^{p_k} < \infty$.

Now choose N such that $N > \max(1, \sup_k |x_k|^{p_k})$ (8)

then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ax)_n| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \right| \\ &\leq \max \{ |a_{n1}| |x_1|, \dots, |a_{nm}| |x_n|, \dots \} \\ &\leq \sup_k \{ |a_{nk}| |x_k| \} \\ &\leq \sup_k k |a_{nk}| N^{1/p_k}, \text{ using (8)} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Hence $Ax \in (l_\infty)$, i.e., $A \in (\Delta l_\infty(p), l_\infty)$.

Conversely suppose that for an integer $N > 1$

$$\sup_k k |a_{nk}| N^{1/p_k} = \infty$$

That is, $(k a_{nk} N^{1/p_k}) \notin (l_\infty(\Delta), l_\infty)$. Therefore there exists

$x \in l_\infty(\Delta)$ with $\sup_k |x_k - x_{k+1}| = 1$ such that

$$\sup_k k |a_{nk}| |x_k - x_{k+1}| N^{1/p_k} = \infty.$$

Hence $y = \left(N^{1/p_k} \Delta x_k \right) \in l_\infty(p)$ implies that

the sequence $((Ay)_n) \notin l_\infty$, Which is a contradiction to the fact that $A \in (\Delta l_\infty(p), l_\infty)$.

Which completes the proof.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Z. U. Ahmad and Mursaleen." Kothe Toeplitz duals of some new sequence spaces and their matrix maps," PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHEMATIQUE, nouvelle serie, tome 42(56), 1987, 57- 61.
- [2] G.Bachman" ,Introduction to P-adic Numbers and Valuation Theory," Academic Press,1964
- [3] R.G.Cooke,Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces, Macmillan,London,1950.
- [4] G.H Hardy ,Divergent series,oxford,1949.
- [5] H.Kismaz."On certain sequence spaces," *canad.Math.Bull*, vol.24 (2), 1981.
- [6] Lascarides, C. G. and Maddox,I. J." Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences".Proc. Cambridge. Philos.Soc., 68(1970), 99-104.
- [7] P.N.Natarajan and M.S.Rangachari," Matrix transformations between Sequence Spaces Over Non -Archimedean Fields",Rev. Roumaine Pures Appl.24(1979),615-18.

